



ATHARVA SACHIN BEKANALKAR


asb-foundry.vercel.app



Institutional Logic


Palantir Technologies -
The \$310B *Black Box* No One Truly
Understands

*Ontology, cybernetics, institutional epistemology,
and the socio-technical architecture of operational AI*



Valued at ~\$310B in
mid-2026 with
explosive growth.

It powers decisions in
war rooms, boardrooms,
and government ops. Yet
most professionals can't
explain what it actually
sells or why it matters.






Unlike Any Other AI Company ...

GENERIC AI

Answers, Not Accuracy




Optimized for Engagement,
Not Precision


VS.

PALANTIR

Ontologies Drive Operations



Built for High-Stakes
Decisions








Palantir's Architecture



and other firms ...




Revenue
Gross Profit
Operating Income
Net Income
Gross Margin (%)
Operating Margin (%)






PHASE-I:

The 9/11 Conspiracy





9/11:
The Catalyst
Palantir Was
Built For





Was Palantir really built for what it looks like?


VARIOUS CONTROVERSIES WITH - MORE THAN “VALID” CLAIMS - HAVE BEEN CREATED

Primary Hypothesis (H1)

9/11 was a catalyzing event, facilitated or opportunistically exploited, that pre positioned Palantir and the surveillance tech complex through In-Q-Tel, TIA blueprints, and rapid CIA integration to build the post-attack data-fusion empire.

Null Hypothesis (H0)

9/11 resulted from real intelligence failures, and Palantir was simply a timely startup that solved the resulting problems with innovative technology and normal government contracts.






Was Palantir really built for what it looks like?

CLAIMS SUPPORTING PRIMARY HYPOTHESIS (H1)

- Post 9/11 intelligence failures created the perfect pretext for total data fusion and surveillance expansion.
- Ignored warnings, NORAD stand down anomalies, and rapid Patriot Act passage enabled unprecedented government private tech integration.
- WTC7 free-fall collapse and other physical anomalies (per AE911Truth engineers) fuel questions about the official narrative.
- Immediate beneficiaries: defense contractors and intelligence tech startups, were unusually well positioned.





ATHARVA SACHIN BEKANALKAR

asb-foundry.vercel.app



In-Q-Tel, the CIA's
venture capital
arm founded in
1999




... provided early funding
and strategic backing to
Palantir shortly after its
2003 founding, helping
shape the company into a
key player in intelligence
data fusion



PHASE-II:

Institutional theory ft. Palantir's
Ontology





Palantir is accused of several delinquencies & war crimes


BEFORE EXAMINING PALANTIR'S ARCHITECTURE, WE FIRST EVALUATE THE PRINCIPAL PUBLIC ALLEGATIONS SURROUNDING THE COMPANY AND FORMULATE TESTABLE HYPOTHESES

Primary Hypothesis (H1)

Public allegations surrounding Palantir's collaborations with ICE, defense agencies, and military operations warrant investigation into whether its architecture materially influences institutional decision-making, rather than serving as neutral infrastructure.

Null Hypothesis (H0)

Despite these allegations, Palantir functions solely as a software platform. Accountability for all operational decisions rests with customer institutions, not the software architecture itself.






In Simple terms –

GENERATION OF TESTABLE, FALSIFIABLE & MEASURABLE - HYPOTHESES & INITIALISATION

- Scholars argue that Palantir's architecture is driven by institutional theory (DiMaggio and Powell (1983)) which states that architecture of an institute (which may refer to any organisation or enterprise) follow a model (which in this case - a HIGH STAKE MILITARISED SOFTWARE) - which is shaped & structured by the foundational philosophy of people in the higher end of organisational hierarchy.
- This has, allegedly, lead to develop authoratarian cultured philosophy in the enterprise - subject to methodations of its foundations (which we have analysed very deeply in Phase-I of our Hypotheses.





CEO - Palantir Technologies

~ **"Palantir is here, on occasion, to k*ll people"**

Rather than hiding this capability, Palantir embraces its position as a defense contractor providing critical tools for state warfare and target acquisition



CEO - Palantir Technologies


~ **"The world will be divided
between AI haves and
have-nots"**


It openly challenges Silicon
Valley's business models,
promotes militarised AI, and
advocates for an intense
nationalistic stance on global
tech dominance



ONTOLOGY -


A branch of Metaphysics








OKAY! enough fluff
—
Here is a simple
diagram of how
Palantir's Ontology
funcitons





OKAY...

Where's the problem?



IN SIMPLE TERMS -




ATHARVA SACHIN BEKANALKAR

asb-foundry.vercel.app




ONTOLOGY



THE PROBLEM & TRANSPERENCY QUESTION


WHO DECIDED THE CATEGORIES & FEATURE WEIGHTS?





Whosoever decided thereby also decides –

- What becomes visible?
- What becomes important?
- What/Who gets acted upon?



The major concern with feature engineering of these decisions is about taking HIGH STAKE RESOLUTIONS –

And because Palantir is involved in Software militarisation & enterprise level algorithmic training ...





It can directly decide & shape
MORALITY & MORTALITY





The public OUTRAGE








But Palantir didn't stop or apologise
it counter-blamed silicon valley &
anti-westerns

The document was an unapologetic tech-manifesto defending AI-driven warfare, corporate-run governance, and Western military dominance.





F.

WHAT HAPPENED

- Palantir Technologies published a detailed post summarising its 2025 book, 'The Technological Republic'
- The company is best known for supplying AI-driven defence and surveillance software to agencies like the U.S. Army, ICE, and NYPD
- The post outlined its worldview in 22 points and quickly drew widespread attention and criticism

Palantir Technologies
The Technological Republic is a book that outlines the company's worldview in 22 points. It is a detailed post summarising its 2025 book, 'The Technological Republic'. The company is best known for supplying AI-driven defence and surveillance software to agencies like the U.S. Army, ICE, and NYPD. The post outlined its worldview in 22 points and quickly drew widespread attention and criticism.

TECHNOFASCISM OR STRATEGIC DOCTRINE?

INSIDE PALANTIR'S 22-POINT MANIFESTO,
THE GLOBAL BACKLASH, AND
WHAT IT MEANS FOR
INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT.



Why Palantir's 22-point manifesto is being called 'the ramblings of a supervillain'


Palantir
PalantirTech

We get asked a lot.

Technological Republic, in brief.

Valley owes a moral debt to
try that made its rise

The engineering elite of
Valley has an affirmative
to participate in the defense
ion





Donald J. Trump ✓ +
@realDonaldTrump

Palantir Technologies (PLTR) has proven to have great war fighting capabilities and equipment. Just ask our enemies!!! President DJT

130 ReTruths 527 Likes


4/10/26, 10:32 AM

Stock Manipulation?





CONCLUDING...






Synthesis of Findings

FINDINGS OF THE ESTABLISHED CLAIMS

- The evidence reviewed provides stronger support for the primary hypothesis (H1) in all of the 3 studies that were conducted - than for the null hypothesis (H0), while recognizing that questions of causality, accountability, and institutional responsibility remain open to continued research.
- The evidence presented in this study suggests that Palantir is better understood not simply as an AI or software company, but as an institutional operating system whose architecture mediates how organizations perceive, coordinate, and act.
- This implies it is very likely that the black box of Palantir (Ontology) is being institutionalised.






Synthesis of Findings

FINDINGS OF THE ESTABLISHED CLAIMS

- This study finds probabilistic, yet, no deterministic evidence that the events of 9/11 were orchestrated to facilitate companies such as Palantir. However, the post-9/11 security paradigm unusually accelerated the institutional demand, funding, and political legitimacy required for technologies of this nature to emerge and scale.
- By the time an AI model produces a recommendation, many of the most consequential decisions have already been made. The ontology defines what exists, feature engineering determines what matters, and workflow design constrains what actions are possible. AI barely operates within that institutional reality.





*“Every architecture encodes
a theory of the institution it
seeks to govern.”*

– This Research



ATHARVA SACHIN BEKANALKAR

asb-foundry.vercel.app

